

Northeastern Systematic Alpha

Alternative Data Scrapping

News Prominence & S&P 500 Hiring Signals

Filip Ilic · Sean May · Tyme Sutespoon

Quantitative Development Team | April 2026



The Problem

Q: Why build our own alternative-data pipeline?

Alternative data — news sentiment, editorial prominence, hiring patterns — is increasingly central to systematic strategies. However, commercial providers are expensive, delayed, and pre-filtered. The raw signals we need are not available off the shelf.

- **Commercial APIs cost a fortune.** Bloomberg and Refinitiv price out student research teams.
- **BLS hiring data lags 30–60 days.** By the time the release lands, the signal is priced in.
- **No product tracks editorial prominence.** Nobody captures which stories get homepage priority over time.
- **S&P 500 hiring data is fragmented.** 500+ career sites across 15 different ATS providers.
- **Monetary incentive is misaligned.** Vendors sell what packages well — not what moves markets.

The Pipeline

Q: What does the system actually do?

30

News sources

scraped 3× daily for homepage prominence

470

S&P 500 companies

successfully scraped across 15 ATS providers

~\$10

per month infra

fully serverless, scales to Fortune 1000

1. Collect

Schedulers fire 3× daily — pull RSS, homepages, ATS job boards.

2. Normalize

Classify finance relevance, extract position, dedupe, timestamp.

3. Store

Postgres with immutable snapshots — ready for analysis.

News — Hypotheses

Q: What testable signals live in the news data?

H1 · Front-Page Prominence

Where a story sits on the homepage signals how much attention editors expect it to get.

- Capture homepage rank 3× daily as a time series.
- Rising prominence → editors think it matters more.
- Test: position-delta vs. next-day return and volume.
- Needs 3–6 months of snapshots for significance.

H2 · Story Convergence

When many outlets tell the same story at once, that agreement is itself a market signal.

- 30 sources across the editorial spectrum.
- Measure how fast a narrative spreads.
- Test: convergence metric vs. sector volatility.
- Needs topic extraction (on roadmap).

Jobs — Hypotheses

Q: What testable signals live in the hiring data?

H3 · Hiring Velocity

How fast a company is hiring leads earnings by a quarter — visible in job boards before filings.

- 470 S&P 500 companies scraped live.
- 30–60 days ahead of BLS reports.
- Test: sector velocity vs. earnings surprises.
- Needs 6+ months for cross-sectional study.

H4 · Wage Pressure

Posted salary ranges across the S&P 500 form a real-time wage index by sector and role.

- Pay bands parsed directly from listings.
- Wage acceleration squeezes margins.
- Test: wage delta vs. sector margin change.
- Coverage: ~30–50% of listings include pay.

Problem & Approach

Q: Where are the commercial data gaps, and how do we fill them?

The Problem

- Commercial APIs are pre-filtered and expensive.
- BLS jobs data is stale by 30–60 days.
- No product tracks editorial homepage prominence.
- S&P 500 hiring data fragmented across 500+ sites.
- Monetary incentive misaligned with research needs.

Our Approach

- Scrape raw homepages, 30 outlets, 3× daily.
- Track article position as a time series.
- Auto-discover ATS endpoints across 15 providers.
- Fully serverless, ~\$10/month.
- Own the data — no vendor gatekeeping.

Coverage

Q: How much data do we actually have?

30

news sources

general + finance

470

S&P 500 live

of 503 tested

15

ATS providers

Workday, Greenhouse, +13

93%

success rate

470 / 503 companies

Notable

- ATS breakdown: Workday 245 · SuccessFactors 55 · iCIMS 31 · OracleCloud 27 · SmartRecruiters 21 · Greenhouse 17 · Phenom 15 · Eightfold 14 · Taleo 9 · Lever 7 · Ashby 6 · Avature 3 · Dayforce 1 · Jobvite 1 · custom 18.
- News: 6,141 articles captured · 6,388 finance snapshots classified · 12 runs across 10 days.
- Top volume: Barron's (900), Guardian (458), AP (430), HuffPost (392).

Architecture

Q: How do the two pipelines fit together?



470

S&P 500 scraped

30

news outlets, 3× daily

~\$10/mo

serverless infra

Why This Matters for NUSA

Q: What does building our own data pipeline mean for the club?

Leveling the Playing Field

- Vendors like Revelio Labs charge \$5K–\$50K/yr for hiring signal data alone
- We build and own our version at infrastructure cost only (~\$10/month)

A Dataset That Compounds

- Each semester adds statistical depth.
- The pipeline supports 15 ATS providers today, with potential for more.
- Future members inherit a live, growing research asset

Testing Our Hypotheses

- H3 + H4: Hiring velocity and wage pressure visible 30–60 days before earnings filings
- H1 + H2: News prominence and story convergence tracked as quantitative time series

Real Engineering Experience

- AWS Lambda, PostgreSQL, Docker
- Members graduate with hands-on infrastructure experience that stands out in recruiting

Appendix

A1: Case Study — Tesla Q1 Deliveries

Q: Does this pipeline actually capture real market narratives as they unfold?

One event — TSLA Q1 2026 delivery release — traced across 8 homepage snapshots and 9 articles over 36 hours.

Time (EST)	Outlet	Headline Fragment	Position	Phase
Apr 2, 08:00	Reuters	Analysts eye weakest Tesla quarter in 2 years	#14	Pre-event
Apr 2, 16:00	Bloomberg	Tesla delivery estimates walked back again	#9	Pre-event
Apr 3, 00:00	Tesla IR	(Tesla publishes Q1 delivery numbers — 386k vs 408k est.)	—	Release
Apr 3, 08:00	Reuters	Tesla reports weakest quarter, shares slide pre-market	#3	Reaction
Apr 3, 08:00	Bloomberg	Delivery miss reignites demand questions	#5	Reaction
Apr 3, 08:00	WSJ	Tesla Q1 falls short of Wall Street	#6	Reaction
Apr 3, 08:00	FT	Tesla misses deliveries amid EV slowdown	#8	Reaction
Apr 3, 16:00	Reuters	Analysts cut Tesla price targets after miss	#11	Reaction
Apr 4, 08:00	Barron's	Is Tesla's growth story broken?	#18	Decay

What this shows

Full narrative arc — anticipation, release, reaction, decay — captured end-to-end in our snapshots.
Peak 9 simultaneous articles across outlets. Position #3 at reaction marks maximum editorial prominence.
Hillert et al. (2014) + Boudoukh et al. (2019) predict momentum amplification at exactly this moment

A2: Build vs Buy — Commercial Alternatives

Q: Why not just pay for Revelio, Lightcast, LinkUp, or Bloomberg?

Vendor / Source	Cost / yr	Latency	Coverage	Customization	Bias Control
Bloomberg Terminal	\$28,000	Real-time	Global news, all markets	Read-only	Pre-filtered
Refinitiv (LSEG)	\$22,000	Real-time	Global news, fundamentals	Read-only	Pre-filtered
Revelio Labs	\$50k+	Daily	Workforce, 1B+ profiles	API access	N/A — hiring only
Lightcast (BG)	\$30k+	Daily	2.5B historical job posts	API access	N/A — hiring only
LinkUp	\$20k+	Daily	S&P 500 jobs index	Index-level only	N/A — hiring only
Indeed Hiring Lab	Free	Weekly	Aggregate indices only	None	N/A — hiring only
BLS / JOLTS	Free	30–60 days	Sector-level	None	N/A
Our Pipeline	≈ \$150	8 hours	30 news + 470 S&P 500	Full — we own the data	Balanced, explicit

Takeaway Commercial feeds are pre-filtered by vendors — we never see what they cut. Owning the pipeline means owning the editorial decisions — and paying less than a single Bloomberg seat costs for an hour.

A3: News Source Breakdown

Q: Which sources are scraped, and how are they chosen to balance editorial bias?

General News · 15 sources, all-topic homepage tracking

NYTimes	left / establishment daily
CNN	lean-left cable network
Washington Post	left-leaning national
The Guardian (US)	left international
HuffPost	left-leaning digital
Slate	left-leaning magazine
BBC News	center international
Associated Press	center wire service
Forbes	center-right business
Wall Street Journal	center-right daily
NY Post	right-leaning tabloid
Fox News	right-leaning cable
Newsmax	right-leaning cable
Washington Examiner	right-leaning daily
Breitbart	right-leaning digital

Finance Sources · bias-balanced, 5 tiers

LEFT

ProPublica · The Intercept · Common Dreams

LEAN LEFT

Bloomberg · Business Insider · Yahoo Finance

CENTER

Reuters Business · MarketWatch · Financial Times

LEAN RIGHT

The Economist · Barron's · Investor's Business Daily

RIGHT

Fox Business · Daily Caller · Breitbart Economy

A4: ATS Providers & Company Coverage

Q: Which S&P 500 companies are scraped, and by which ATS platform?

503 S&P 500 companies tested · 470 successfully scraped · 15 ATS providers · 92.6% overall success rate

PROVIDER	SUCCESS	RATE	EXAMPLE COMPANIES
Workday	245 / 246	99.6%	Apple · Microsoft · Google · IBM · Cisco · Adobe · AT&T · 3M · Accenture · Bank of America (+235 more)
SuccessFactors	55 / 58	94.8%	Altria · Ball · CMS Energy · A.O. Smith · American Water Works (+50 more)
iCIMS	31 / 34	91.2%	Costco · Dollar General · AMD · EchoStar · GE Healthcare (+26 more)
Oracle Cloud	27 / 31	87.1%	AutoZone · Carnival · Chubb · Citizens Financial · Cummins (+22 more)
SmartRecruiters	21 / 21	100%	AbbVie · Arista Networks · Domino's · Expedia (+17 more)
Custom	18 / 26	69.2%	Amazon · Alphabet · ADP · Crown Castle (+14 more)
Greenhouse	17 / 17	100%	Airbnb · Block · Coinbase · DoorDash · Databricks (+12 more)
Phenom	15 / 17	88.2%	Hilton · Lowe's · Newmont · GE HealthCare (+11 more)
Eightfold	14 / 16	87.5%	American Express · Ford · Kraft Heinz · Lam Research (+10 more)
Taleo	9 / 15	60%	Legacy Oracle Taleo deployments
Lever	7 / 7	100%	Berkshire Hathaway · MetLife · Palantir (+4 more)
Ashby	6 / 6	100%	Union Pacific · Parker Hannifin · Arthur J. Gallagher (+3 more)
Avature	3 / 6	50%	CBRE · Jack Henry · Lululemon
Jobvite	1 / 2	50%	Two deployments
Dayforce	1 / 1	100%	Single deployment

A5: Data Quality & Known Limitations

Q: Where does the data fall short, and what do we already know is missing?

What Works

- **News deduplication.** Composite key (source, articleid) prevents duplicate ingestion.
- **Jobs deduplication.** UNIQUE(cid, ats_provider, ats_job_id) enforced at DB layer.
- **RSS parsing.** 30 sources with consistent, reliable feed formats.
- **Workday scraping.** 245 / 246 companies — nearly perfect capture rate.
- **Perfect-rate providers.** Greenhouse, SmartRecruiters, Lever, Ashby: 100% success.
- **ATS auto-discovery.** Brave Search + URL classifier finds career pages without manual config.
- **Immutable snapshots.** Every scrape is append-only — back-in-time queries work natively.
- **Schema stability.** Articles + snapshots schema unchanged since Day 1, Alembic-managed on jobs side.

Known Gaps

- **Salary coverage ~30–50%.** Only a fraction of listings publish pay ranges; sector-biased distribution.
- **Taleo scraping 60%.** Oracle Taleo templates are inconsistent; 6 of 15 deployments fail.
- **Avature / Jobvite 50%.** Small-N providers, two failures each.
- **Custom sites 69%.** 8 of 26 bespoke career portals resist the generic classifier.
- **No ground-truth validation.** Capture completeness is estimated, not verified against an authority.
- **33 companies un-scraped.** 503 tested minus 470 success = 33 failures across ATS providers.
- **No historical backfill.** Data only exists from scraper start date forward — no retrospective.
- **NLP not yet applied.** Finance classifier is keyword/URL based; sentiment + topics still on roadmap.

A6: Sample Data — What a Row Looks Like

Q: What does the captured data actually look like once it lands in Postgres?

articles (news_homepage.articles)

```
source = "bloomberg"
articleid = "fin_20260413_045"
title = "Oil Surges 3% After Strait of Hormuz Incident"
byline = "Alex Longley, Bill Lehane"
url = "bloomberg.com/news/articles/2026-04-13/..."
published_at = 2026-04-13 14:55:00+00
summary = "Brent crude jumped to $92/barrel after..."
tags = {"energy", "oil", "geopolitics", "commodities"}
```

companies (jobs.companies)

```
cid = 550e8400-e29b-41d4-a716-446655440000
name = "Microsoft Corporation"
ticker = "MSFT"
in_sp500 = true
ats_provider = GREENHOUSE
jobsite_url = "boards.greenhouse.io/microsoft"
ats_searched_at = 2026-04-13 08:00:00+00
ats_search_attempts = 5
```

finance_snapshots (position over time)

```
id = 8234
source = "bloomberg"
articleid = "fin_20260413_045"
scraped_at = 2026-04-13 16:00:00+00
section = "Markets"
global_position = 3 ← moved up from 7 in prior snapshot
section_position = 1
article_updated_at = 2026-04-13 15:45:00+00
```

jobs (jobs.jobs)

```
jid = 660e8400-e29b-41d4-a716-446655440001
cid = 550e8400-e29b-41d4-a716-446655440000
title = "Senior Software Engineer, Azure Infra"
location_raw = "Redmond, WA"
employment_type = FULL_TIME
pay_salary_low = 158000 pay_salary_high = 246000
currency = USD status = OPEN
posted_at = 2026-04-01 first_seen_at = 2026-04-01
last_seen_at = 2026-04-13 ats_job_id = "gh_12345678"
```

Joins: articles ↔ snapshots on (source, articleid) · companies ↔ jobs on cid. Every pipeline write is immutable — back-in-time queries work out of the box.

A7: Infrastructure Cost Breakdown

Q: What does it actually cost to run this system every month?

Target: ~\$10–15/month, fully serverless. Current: \$0, all services on free tier.

SERVICE	DETAIL	COST / MO	NOTES
AWS Lambda	7 functions	\$8 – \$15	News: 1536MB × 450s × 3/day. Jobs: 6 funcns, 256–512MB, SQS-driven.
SQS Queues	3 queues + 3 DLQs	\$0.50	\$0.40 / M requests. Low volume.
EventBridge	7 scheduled rules	\$0.70	News 3×/day, jobs dispatch 3×/day, ATS finder weekly.
CloudWatch Logs	All Lambda output	\$1.50	~150–300 MB / month at \$0.50/GB ingest.
ECR Container	2 images, ~250 MB	\$0.50	Shared ARM image across all Lambda functions.
Neon Postgres	Free tier	\$0	3 GB storage, 6–12 mo runway. Pro tier \$19 when needed.
Brave Search API	~2K queries / mo	\$0 – \$5	ATS discovery only. Free tier covers current volume.
ESTIMATED TOTAL		\$11 – \$25 / month	Production-scale estimate: \$35–50 / mo (Neon Pro + scaled Brave tier).

A8: Technology Stack

Q: What technologies are used and why?

Category	Technology	Version	Rationale
Language	Python	3.11	Ecosystem breadth, Lambda support, team expertise
HTTP	requests	2.32	Industry standard, connection pooling, simple API
HTML Parsing	BeautifulSoup4	4.12–4.14	Resilient to malformed HTML, flexible selectors
XML Parsing	xml.etree.ElementTree	stdlib	RSS/Atom parsing — no external dependency
DB (sync)	psycopg2	2.9.9	Mature Postgres driver for news scraper
DB (async)	asyncpg	0.31	High-perf async Postgres for Lambda concurrency
ORM	SQLAlchemy	2.0.46	Type-safe models, async sessions, migrations
Migrations	Alembic	1.18	Schema evolution for jobs pipeline
AWS SDK	boto3	1.38	SQS, Secrets Manager integration
Search API	Brave Search	v1	ATS discovery; competitive pricing, no Google rate limits
Browser	Selenium + UC	4.38	LinkedIn scraper (undetected-chromedriver)
IaC	AWS SAM	—	Simplified CloudFormation for serverless
Database	Neon Postgres	16	Serverless Postgres with connection pooling

A9: Finance Classifier Internals

Q: How does the finance classifier decide what's finance-related?

Three-Stage Matching Pipeline

- Stage 1 — Section Match: `article.section` (lowercased) checked against `FINANCE_SECTIONS` set
- Stage 2 — Tag Match: each tag checked for `FINANCE_SECTIONS` substring OR `FINANCE_TAG_KEYWORDS` match
- Stage 3 — URL Path Match: split URL path by `'/'`, check each segment against `FINANCE_SECTIONS`
- Performance: $O(n)$ via set membership; optimized from $O(n \times m)$ in commit 71aacf8

Configuration

- `FINANCE_SECTIONS`: comma-delimited override via Lambda env var
- `FINANCE_TAG_KEYWORDS`: comma-delimited override via Lambda env var
- Both runtime-configurable without code deploy

A10: News Database Schema (DDL)

Q: What is the exact database schema for the news pipeline?

```
CREATE TABLE articles (  
    source TEXT, articleid TEXT, title TEXT, byline TEXT,  
    url TEXT, published_at TIMESTAMPTZ, summary TEXT, tags TEXT[],  
    PRIMARY KEY (source, articleid)  
);  
  
CREATE TABLE snapshots (  
    source TEXT, articleid TEXT, scraped_at TIMESTAMPTZ,  
    section TEXT, global_position INT, section_position INT,  
    article_updated_at TIMESTAMPTZ,  
    UNIQUE (source, scraped_at, articleid)  
);  
-- Indexes: scraped_at, (scraped_at, global_position),  
--           (articleid, scraped_at), published_at  
  
CREATE TABLE finance_snapshots (  
    -- Same structure as snapshots  
    -- Parallel table for finance-classified articles  
);
```

A11: Jobs Database Schema (ORM)

Q: What is the jobs database schema and what enums are used?

SQLAlchemy ORM Models

- Company: UUID pk, name, ticker, in_sp500, ats_provider, company_url, jobsite_url, ats_searched_at, ats_search_attempts, ats_search_last_error
- Job: UUID pk, cid (FK), title, description, location_raw, employment_type, pay_hour_low/high, pay_salary_low/high, currency, status, posted_at, closed_at, ats_provider, ats_job_id, post_url, first_seen_at, last_seen_at
- Unique constraint: (cid, ats_provider, ats_job_id)
- Managed via Alembic (3 migrations: init, add_ticker, remove_lever)

Enum Definitions (from source code)

- ATSPProvider: workday, greenhouse, icims, taleo, successfactors, smartrecruiters, ashby, oraclecloud, phenom, eightfold, lever, avature, jobvite, dayforce, custom
- EmploymentType: full_time, part_time, internship, seasonal
- Currency: usd
- JobStatus: open, closed

A12: Data Freshness & Latency

Q: How fresh is the data and what is end-to-end latency?

Data Type	Update Frequency	Typical Latency	Staleness Risk
News articles	3× daily	~60s (scrape-to-DB)	8h max between scrapes
News snapshots	3× daily	~60s	Position ages with next scrape
Finance classification	Per scrape	In-line (0s added)	Follows news latency
S&P 500 list	Weekly	~30s	Low (changes quarterly)
ATS URLs	Daily	~5min per company	URLs rarely change day-to-day
ATS health	Daily	~2s per URL	24h max stale
Job postings	3× daily	~30–120s per company	8h max between scrapes
LinkedIn data	Ad-hoc	~5–10min per search	Manual trigger only

A13: Scalability, Growth & Retention

Q: How does the system scale, what are the bottlenecks, and how is historical data retained?

Current Capacity & Growth

- **News snapshots.** ~9,000 rows/day across 30 sources $\times$ 3 daily scrapes $\approx$ 3.3M rows/year.
- **Finance snapshots.** ~500–1,000 rows/day on the finance-filtered parallel table.
- **Articles.** ~500–1,000 newly-deduplicated articles per day.
- **Jobs postings.** 60,367 postings captured across 470 S&P 500 companies and 15 ATS providers.
- **Lambda auto-scale.** Per-invocation scaling, no server management, no provisioning decisions.
- **SQS throughput.** Effectively unlimited for our volume (AWS-managed).
- **Adding Fortune 1000.** Roughly $2\times$ cost, zero architecture changes — the same pipeline runs.

Bottlenecks & Retention Strategy

- **DB connection pooling.** Neon pooler + SQLAlchemy NullPool prevent exhaustion at Lambda scale.
- **Brave Search rate limit.** 1.5s delay per request, max 500/run — batched into daily ATS-finder job.
- **Lambda timeout.** Single-company per invocation bounds execution to 600s max.
- **No deletion today.** All data retained — this is the research asset, not hot transactional state.
- **SQS DLQ retention.** 14-day default before failed messages are purged.
- **Neon runway.** Free tier (0.5 GB) carries ~6–12 months; Neon Pro (\$19/mo, 10 GB) picks up after.
- **Planned.** Monthly partitioning on snapshots; S3 + Athena archive for data older than one year.

A14: Monitoring & Alerting

Q: How is the system monitored in production?

Current

- CloudWatch Logs: all Lambda stdout/stderr
- Lambda return payload: article/snapshot counts
- SQS metrics: visible messages, DLQ depth
- ATS health check logs: daily URL validation report

Planned

- Grafana dashboard: real-time status, article counts, error rates
- CloudWatch Alarms: Lambda errors > threshold, DLQ > 0
- SNS notifications: email/Slack for pipeline failures
- Custom metrics: per-source article count, classification hit rate

A15: Rate Limiting & Throttling

Q: How does the system manage rate limits and avoid being blocked?

Rate Limiting Strategy

- News: User-Agent mimics Chrome 123; 10s connect, 30s read timeout
- ATS Finder: 1.5s delay between Brave Search requests, max 500/run
- LinkedIn: SCROLL_PAUSE=5s, DETAIL_PAUSE=2s
- SQS visibility timeout prevents duplicate processing

Anti-Detection

- RSS/Atom feeds are public APIs — no scraping detection risk
- Workday/Greenhouse: public job board APIs, no auth required
- LinkedIn: undetected-chromedriver patches Chrome fingerprints
- Lambda IP rotation naturally distributes load

A16: Security Measures

Q: What security measures are in place?

Infrastructure Security

- SQL injection guard: parameterized queries throughout (audit fix in commit 40db84c)
- Credentials via AWS Secrets Manager or Lambda env vars (encrypted at rest)
- Connection leak fix: identified and resolved in news scraper (commit 40db84c)
- SQS encryption at rest (AWS managed); ECR image scanning for CVEs

Data Security

- No PII collected: job postings contain public data only
- News data is publicly available content — no paywalled content extracted
- .env files gitignored; .env.example provided for onboarding

A17: S&P 500 Reconstitution Handling

Q: What happens when companies enter or leave the S&P 500?

Reconstitution Process

- Wiki Crawler runs weekly (Monday 02:00 UTC) — re-scrapes the full S&P 500 list from Wikipedia
- New additions: inserted with `in_sp500=True`, queued for ATS discovery automatically
- Removals: `in_sp500` set to `False` — record and historical job data preserved (soft state change)
- Dispatcher only queries `WHERE in_sp500=True` — removed companies stop being scraped automatically
- Historical data for removed companies remains queryable for backtesting

Edge Cases

- Reconstitution: quarterly (Mar, Jun, Sep, Dec) + ad-hoc. Weekly crawl catches within 7 days.
- Name changes (mergers, rebrandings): may require manual ATS URL re-discovery
- Ticker changes tracked via ticker column in companies table

A18: Testing Strategy

Q: How is the system tested before deployment?

Unit & Integration Tests

- `test_sources.py`: parser tests (NYT, RSS) with checked-in fixtures
- `test_finance_classifier.py`: classification logic validation
- `test_run_integration.py`: full pipeline (fetch → classify → store)
- `test_ats_finder.py` + per-provider tests for all 15 scrapers (workday, greenhouse, icims, ashby, lever, eightfold, phenom, oraclecloud, taleo, smartrecruiters, successfactors, avature, jobvite, dayforce, custom)

Smoke Test Pipeline

- `scripts/smoke_test.py`: end-to-end pre-deploy validation
- Spawns ephemeral Docker Postgres + applies schema
- Builds Lambda container (arm64/x86_64), invokes locally
- Validates row counts: articles, snapshots, finance_snapshots

A19: Roadmap

Q: What's planned next, and on what timeline?

NEAR-TERM

Q2 2026

- NLP sentiment analysis (FinBERT) on article bodies
- Named-entity recognition for company and person extraction
- Backfill the remaining 33 failing-ATS companies
- Grafana dashboard for real-time pipeline health
- Historical snapshot backfill where archive.org allows
- Upgrade finance classifier to embedding-based similarity

MID-TERM

Q3–Q4 2026

- Topic modeling (LDA / BERTopic) for narrative clustering
- Cross-source article deduplication (same story, different outlets)
- Expand scope to Fortune 1000 for broader hiring coverage
- Build and backtest factor model: prominence + hiring velocity
- FastAPI layer exposing clean query endpoints for the team
- Internal benchmark: compare our classifier to FinBERT labels

LONG-TERM

2027+

- Event-driven architecture: news event → signal → execution
- International source expansion (FT, Nikkei, SCMP, Economic Times)
- Social integration (Twitter / X, Reddit, StockTwits)
- ML article-importance scoring (replaces position heuristic)
- Production alpha strategy backtesting on captured data
- Paper / preprint on editorial-prominence signal methodology

A20: Works Cited

Academic papers and industry sources referenced in this presentation

News Signals

Tetlock (2007). “Giving Content to Investor Sentiment.” J. Finance 62(3).
Tetlock, Saar-Tsechansky & Macskassy (2008). “More Than Words.” J. Finance 63(3).
Loughran & McDonald (2011). “When Is a Liability Not a Liability?” J. Finance 66(1).
Heston & Sinha (2017). “News vs. Sentiment.” Fin. Analysts J. 73(3).
Garcia (2013). “Sentiment during Recessions.” J. Finance 68(3).
Fang & Peress (2009). “Media Coverage and the Cross-Section.” J. Finance 64(5).
Hillert, Jacobs & Mueller (2014). “Media Makes Momentum.” Rev. Fin. Studies 27(12).
Peress (2014). “The Media and the Diffusion of Information.” J. Finance 69(5).
Boudoukh, Feldman, Kogan & Richardson (2019). “Information, Trading, and Volatility.” Rev. Fin. Studies 32(3).
Calomiris & Mamaysky (2019). “How News and Its Context Drive Risk.” J. Fin. Econ. 133(2).
Gentzkow & Shapiro (2010). “What Drives Media Slant?” Econometrica 78(1).
Araci (2019). “FinBERT.” arXiv:1908.10063.
Lopez-Lira & Tang (2023). “Can ChatGPT Forecast Stock Price Movements?” arXiv:2304.07619.

Jobs / Hiring Signals

Kothari & O'Doherty (2023). “Job Postings and Aggregate Stock Returns.” J. Fin. Markets 64.
Belo, Lin & Bazdresch (2014). “Labor Hiring, Investment, and Stock Return Predictability.” J. Political Econ. 122(1).
Li, Lourie, Nekrasov & Shevlin (2022). “Employee Turnover and Firm Performance.” Mgmt. Science 68(8).
Green, Huang, Wen & Zhou (2019). “Crowdsourced Employer Reviews and Stock Returns.” J. Fin. Econ. 134(1).
Hazell & Taska (2025). “Downward Rigidity in the Wage for New Hires.” Amer. Econ. Rev. 115(12).
Acemoglu, Autor, Hazell & Restrepo (2022). “AI and Jobs: Evidence from Online Vacancies.” J. Labor Econ. 40(S1).
Babina, Fedyk, He & Hodson (2024). “AI, Firm Growth, and Product Innovation.” J. Fin. Econ.
Hershbein & Kahn (2018). “Do Recessions Accelerate Routine-Biased Tech Change?” Amer. Econ. Rev. 108(7).
Kolanovic & Krishnamachari (2017). “Big Data and AI Strategies.” JP Morgan QDS.

Industry Data Providers

Revelio Labs — workforce analytics on WRDS platform.
Lightcast (fmr. Burning Glass) — 2.5B+ historical job postings.
LinkUp — S&P 500 Jobs Index (with S&P Dow Jones Indices).
Indeed Hiring Lab — Job Postings Index, Posted Wage Tracker.

Northeastern Systematic Alpha

Data Scraping Infrastructure

Questions & Discussion

may.se@northeastern.edu | Quantitative Development Team
ilic.f@northeastern.edu | Quantitative Development Team

